

SITE SURVEY REPORT

CCTV Drain Survey Record

Prepared for	Quantock Lettings Ltd
Date	26 Sep 2026
Site	12 Orchard Rise, Taunton
Prepared by	I. Pengelly

FORM

CCTV Drain Survey Record

1. Record details and scope

Project	12 Orchard Rise, Taunton: CCTV drain survey (completed example)
Site or premises address	12 Orchard Rise, Taunton
Client or organisation	Quantock Lettings Ltd
Record date	26 Sep 2026
Person completing the record	I. Pengelly

This records a remotely operated drain survey. It is not confined-space entry authority, a drainage design, automatic structural grading or a guarantee of concealed pipe condition.

WinCan's technical guidance describes recording sewer CCTV inspection data using WRc conventions. Record the applicable coding standard and survey evidence. This template does not supply licensed code tables or assign condition grades automatically.

2. Survey brief

Survey purpose and agreed extent	Repeat blockages to the rear kitchen gully. Survey the foul drain from the rear inspection chamber to the front chamber at the boundary.
Operator and training reference	I. Pengelly, drainage technician, training record QDS-TR-031
Camera and distance-measurement check reference	Push rod camera with distance counter, counter checked against a tape on 2026-09-26, sheet QDS-CAL-0926
Applicable coding standard and edition	Not coded on site. Plain observations only. Coding, where needed, goes in the separate assessed report.
Access permissions, safe method and restrictions	Tenant gave access through the agent. Covers lifted with a lifting key, chambers coned off, no entry into chambers.

3. Pipe runs

Pipe run 1: R1, IC1 rear garden to IC2 side path

Run ID and upstream/downstream access points	R1, IC1 rear garden to IC2 side path
Diameter, material and apparent use	100 mm clay, foul
Survey direction and start reference	Downstream from IC1, start at the IC1 outlet

Video file reference	QDS-0926-R1.mp4
Surveyed length and unit	7.4 m
Obstruction, water level, visibility and unsurveyed extent	Water level low. Run surveyed in full.

Pipe run 2: R2, IC2 side path to IC3 front boundary

Run ID and upstream/downstream access points	R2, IC2 side path to IC3 front boundary
Diameter, material and apparent use	100 mm clay, foul
Survey direction and start reference	Downstream from IC2, start at the IC2 outlet
Video file reference	QDS-0926-R2.mp4
Surveyed length and unit	4.1 m of 9.0 m
Obstruction, water level, visibility and unsurveyed extent	Camera could not pass roots at 4.1 m. Remaining 4.9 m not surveyed, to be surveyed upstream from IC3 after cutting.

4. Observations

Observation 1: R1

Run ID	R1
Chainage, unit and video time reference	3.2 m, video 01:48
Observed defect and extent	Displaced joint, pipe end dropped by about 15 mm. Small build-up of fat downstream.

Referenced still images



Observation 2: R2

Run ID	R2
Chainage, unit and video time reference	4.1 m, video 02:35
Observed defect and extent	Fine roots through the joint, about half the pipe bore blocked. Survey abandoned here.

Referenced still images

**5. Interpretation**

Cleaning, further survey or specialist review recommendations	Root cut and jet R2, then survey the rest of R2 from IC3. Displaced joint on R1 to be considered for a patch repair after the full survey.
Separate assessed condition report reference where provided	Assessed report QDS-RPT-0412 to follow
Competent reviewer	H. Brookes, drainage surveyor

6. Actions and review

Are further actions required?	Yes
-------------------------------	-----

Further action 1: Root cut and jet R2, then survey the remaining 4.9 m from IC3.

Action and evidence reference	Root cut and jet R2, then survey the remaining 4.9 m from IC3.
Person responsible	I. Pengelly
Target date	8 Oct 2026
Action status	Open

Further action 2: Send assessed report and repair quote to the letting agent.

Action and evidence reference	Send assessed report and repair quote to the letting agent.
-------------------------------	---

Person responsible	H. Brookes
Target date	15 Oct 2026
Action status	Open
Outstanding limitations and information to pass on	4.9 m of R2 not yet surveyed. Kitchen gully connection not surveyed, too small for the camera.
Record status	Further work needed
Reviewed by	H. Brookes
Review date	28 Sep 2026
Author signature	

I. Pengelly

Signing records the information entered. It does not itself certify legal compliance, remove a limitation or authorise unsafe work.